

**From:** Amber Heyd  
**Sent:** Mon, 11 Sep 2023 20:37:30 +0000  
**To:** Shelly Vik; Tuxephoni Winsor; Trish Hanson  
**Cc:** Steven Clelland; Anna Nadirova; Zhihai Ma  
**Subject:** RE: January - June 2023 SCS report for review  
**Attachments:** Rpt 01\_System Level Semi Annual\_Grant 014400\_2022 Jul 01 to 2022 Dec 31\_Prov SCS Operations\_2023 08\_Update\_JM\_AN\_AH.docx

Hi all,

Thanks for sharing for review Shelly.

I went through the report and made some comments and then a few other things to note here:

24 (1) (a); 24 (1) (b)

Thanks,  
Amber

---


**From:** Shelly Vik <Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 11, 2023 12:22 PM  
**To:** Tuxephoni Winsor <Tuxephoni.Winsor@albertahealthservices.ca>; Trish Hanson <Patricia.Hanson@albertahealthservices.ca>  
**Cc:** Steven Clelland <Steven.Clelland@albertahealthservices.ca>; Anna Nadirova <Anna.Nadirova@albertahealthservices.ca>; Zhihai Ma <Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca>; Amber Heyd <Amber.Heyd@albertahealthservices.ca>  
**Subject:** January - June 2023 SCS report for review  
**Importance:** High

Hi all, Here is the draft report (thanks very much to Anna and Jack). A couple of notes from are below.

Can you please review and send back to Anna, Jack and I by end of day Wednesday?

**Steve** – I believe you are 17 (1) soon, so am cc'ing you on this draft in case you would like to review before you go (I can imagine you already have quite the pile though!)

From Anna:



**From:** Lorelei Lloyd-LoPuch  
**Sent:** Thu, 21 Sep 2023 22:25:39 +0000  
**To:** Victoria Holec  
**Subject:** FW: SCS - literature reviews

**Lorelei Lloyd-LoPuch, MAL, RRP**  
Manager, Clinical Business Initiatives  
Provincial Addiction & Mental Health  
MS Teams: 587-772-2709  
Work Cell: 780-913-7025  
[Lorelei.Lloyd-LoPuch@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Lorelei.Lloyd-LoPuch@albertahealthservices.ca)

*This message, and any documents attached hereto, is intended only for the addressee and may contain privileged or confidential information. Any unauthorized disclosure is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify me immediately so that I may correct my internal records. Please then delete the original message. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Thank you.*

---

**From:** Shelly Vik <[Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 21, 2023 4:20 PM  
**To:** Lorelei Lloyd-LoPuch <[Lorelei.Lloyd-LoPuch@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Lorelei.Lloyd-LoPuch@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: SCS - literature reviews

Thank you! I just got off a meeting and have you starred to email!

I agree it makes logical that SCS work. I only read original papers and did not find much, so this will be interesting.

Also, I spoke to Amber re STI testing, preventive measures for STI and pregnancy, and we don't collect this information well – we need to! My frustration is also that it is very hard to show benefits with how the data comes in (hence concerns about making it easier to opt out of PHN collection).

Will connect back after I read 😊

Shelly

---

**From:** Lorelei Lloyd-LoPuch <[Lorelei.Lloyd-LoPuch@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Lorelei.Lloyd-LoPuch@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 21, 2023 4:07 PM  
**To:** Shelly Vik <[Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Subject:** SCS - literature reviews

Hi Shelly,

Here are two systemic literature reviews that have sound methodology and speak to the benefits of SCS, primarily:

- reduce harms associated with substance use (e.g., infectious diseases) and mitigate morbidity and mortality
- Reduce visibility of substance use in community
- Improved access to care for people who use substances

It was also noted in two systemic reviews that in addition to the benefits, there was no negative impacts on the communities they were present in (I'm assuming this is when done well).

[The effectiveness of substance use interventions for homeless and vulnerably housed persons: A systematic review of systematic reviews on supervised consumption facilities, managed alcohol programs, and pharmacological agents for opioid use disorder - PMC \(nih.gov\)](#)

[Implementation and sustainability of safe consumption sites: a qualitative systematic review and thematic synthesis - PMC \(nih.gov\)](#)

I will send you a few more as I find them. Happy reading 😊 LLL

**Lorelei Lloyd-LoPuch, MAL, RRP**  
Manager, Clinical Business Initiatives  
Provincial Addiction & Mental Health  
MS Teams: 587-772-2709  
Work Cell: 780-913-7025  
[Lorelei.Lloyd-LoPuch@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Lorelei.Lloyd-LoPuch@albertahealthservices.ca)

*This message, and any documents attached hereto, is intended only for the addressee and may contain privileged or confidential information. Any unauthorized disclosure is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify me immediately so that I may correct my internal records. Please then delete the original message. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Thank you.*

**From:** Tuxephoni Winsor  
**Sent:** Wed, 27 Sep 2023 17:56:25 +0000  
**To:** Shelly Vik  
**Subject:** RE: SCS final



Thanks Shelly! Awesome. Yes, lets work on advancing to WM for next reporting cycle. So much easier to use this acronym then spelling out "detoxification" each time!  
Love working with you!

---

**From:** Shelly Vik <Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 27, 2023 11:02 AM  
**To:** Tuxephoni Winsor <Tuxephoni.Winsor@albertahealthservices.ca>; Trish Hanson <Patricia.Hanson@albertahealthservices.ca>  
**Cc:** Amber Heyd <Amber.Heyd@albertahealthservices.ca>; Zhihai Ma <Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca>  
**Subject:** RE: SCS final

No problem at all Tux, definitely want to stay away from stigmatizing language. I had not mentioned that I do like the WM 😊

---

**From:** Tuxephoni Winsor <[Tuxephoni.Winsor@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Tuxephoni.Winsor@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 27, 2023 10:57 AM  
**To:** Trish Hanson <[Patricia.Hanson@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Patricia.Hanson@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Shelly Vik <[Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Cc:** Amber Heyd <[Amber.Heyd@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Amber.Heyd@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Zhihai Ma <[Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: SCS final

Thanks Shelly. With general movement to patient centred language in all reports, I had suggested use of detoxification vs "detox" in the narrative sections. My preference would be for withdrawal management, but could look to that in future reports.

Open to further discussion, but the term "Detox" has been flagged as a stigmatizing term.

We also made this change throughout the MDRATE grant report, but also followed the guidance where more practical to use term "Detox" once it is parenthesized following the correct terminology of "detoxification" or "withdrawal management".

[Stigma-AddictionLanguageGuide-v3.pdf \(shatterproof.org\)](#)

---

**From:** Trish Hanson <[Patricia.Hanson@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Patricia.Hanson@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 27, 2023 9:01 AM  
**To:** Shelly Vik <[Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Tuxephoni Winsor <[Tuxephoni.Winsor@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Tuxephoni.Winsor@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Cc:** Amber Heyd <[Amber.Heyd@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Amber.Heyd@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Zhihai Ma <[Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Subject:** Re: SCS final

Interesting. Thanks for this info. I had no idea re use in other reports. Recommended based on these references all being switched in the last SLIR.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Shelly Vik <[Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 27, 2023 8:52:05 AM  
**To:** Tuxephoni Winsor <[Tuxephoni.Winsor@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Tuxephoni.Winsor@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Cc:** Trish Hanson <[Patricia.Hanson@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Patricia.Hanson@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Amber Heyd <[Amber.Heyd@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Amber.Heyd@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Zhihai Ma <[Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: SCS final

Good morning, Here you go! We accepted 99% of suggestions, this should be good to go.

Note: in all other reports (e.g., MDRATE) and documentation, we just use 'detox', this term is also frequently used in publications. However, again not a hill for me 😊, so we accepted those changes.  
Thanks, Shelly

---

**From:** Tuxephoni Winsor <[Tuxephoni.Winsor@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Tuxephoni.Winsor@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 26, 2023 8:04 PM  
**To:** Shelly Vik <[Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Cc:** Trish Hanson <[Patricia.Hanson@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Patricia.Hanson@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Amber Heyd <[Amber.Heyd@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Amber.Heyd@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Zhihai Ma <[Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: SCS final

Thank you for all this fabulous work Jack & Shelly. Just some last few comments to address.  
Thank you Amber & Trish for your final review!

Yay. Team work, and we can get this submitted by the 29<sup>th</sup> for sure.

Have a great eve all! 😊

---

**From:** Shelly Vik <[Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 25, 2023 4:40 PM  
**To:** Tuxephoni Winsor <[Tuxephoni.Winsor@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Tuxephoni.Winsor@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Cc:** Trish Hanson <[Patricia.Hanson@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Patricia.Hanson@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Amber Heyd <[Amber.Heyd@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Amber.Heyd@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Zhihai Ma <[Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Subject:** SCS final

I think that is it! Note I will be working out of office tomorrow, so please connect with Jack if there are any questions. Shelly

**From:** Josh Hathaway  
**Sent:** Tue, 10 Oct 2023 22:50:54 +0000  
**To:** Shelly Vik; Pin Cai  
**Cc:** Zhihai Ma; Nicole MacKinnon  
**Subject:** RE: another request for STATs  
**Attachments:** REBApproval\_Aug282023.pdf

Hi all,

I gather you require the REB for data related to Elaine's team's research. If it pertains to project under [17 (1)] I've attached the REB from the CRISM Project proposal.

17 (1)

This is the only active REB I have from [17 (1)] & her team.

Please let me know how I can assist further.

Cheers,  
Josh

---

**From:** Shelly Vik <Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 10, 2023 4:32 PM  
**To:** Pin Cai <Pin.Cai@albertahealthservices.ca>  
**Cc:** Zhihai Ma <Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca>; Nicole MacKinnon <Nicole.MacKinnon@albertahealthservices.ca>; Josh Hathaway <Josh.Hathaway@albertahealthservices.ca>  
**Subject:** RE: another request for STATs

Sure, just so we have it on file.  
I am looping Josh in, it may be that this is in the CRISM files someplace?

---

**From:** Pin Cai <Pin.Cai@albertahealthservices.ca>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 10, 2023 4:31 PM  
**To:** Shelly Vik <Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca>  
**Cc:** Zhihai Ma <Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca>; Nicole MacKinnon <Nicole.MacKinnon@albertahealthservices.ca>  
**Subject:** RE: another request for STATs

I don't think so. Her original request came in more as an internal request from the RAH site. I can ask for the REB approval in my response.

---

**From:** Shelly Vik <Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 10, 2023 4:20 PM  
**To:** Pin Cai <Pin.Cai@albertahealthservices.ca>  
**Cc:** Zhihai Ma <Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca>; Nicole MacKinnon

<[Nicole.MacKinnon@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Nicole.MacKinnon@albertahealthservices.ca)>

**Subject:** RE: another request for STATs

Anything SCS can go to Jack's team.

Non Responsive; 24 (1) (a); 24 (1) (b)

Thanks

---

**From:** Pin Cai <[Pin.Cai@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Pin.Cai@albertahealthservices.ca)>

**Sent:** Tuesday, October 10, 2023 3:52 PM

**To:** Shelly Vik <[Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca)>

**Cc:** Zhihai Ma <[Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Nicole MacKinnon

<[Nicole.MacKinnon@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Nicole.MacKinnon@albertahealthservices.ca)>

**Subject:** FW: another request for STATs

Hi Shelly,

Do you want me to respond to Dawn and treat this as an ad hoc (rather than SCS reporting)? Would the SCS dashboard [SCS Report \(MHSPA HealthCanada\) - Power BI Report Server](#) be able to answer this type of questions?

Thanks,  
Pin

---

**From:** Dawn Leduc <[J.Leduc@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:J.Leduc@albertahealthservices.ca)>

**Sent:** Tuesday, October 10, 2023 11:59 AM

**To:** Ritika Varma <[Ritika.Varma@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Ritika.Varma@albertahealthservices.ca)>

**Cc:** Pin Cai <[Pin.Cai@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Pin.Cai@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Ajiboye Fakunle

<[Ajiboye.Fakunle@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Ajiboye.Fakunle@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Zhihai Ma <[Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca)>

**Subject:** RE: another request for STATs

The UofA research team has a follow-up question. Are we able to help with this?  
Dawn

---

Hi Dawn,

If possible, it would be really helpful to know how many unique patients the RAH SCS is seeing in a given year, specifically the number of unique patients who use patient-supplied substances at least once at the SCS. Please let me know if you are able to pull this. Thanks in advance for your help!

Elaine

---

**From:** Ritika Varma <[Ritika.Varma@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Ritika.Varma@albertahealthservices.ca)>

**Sent:** Wednesday, September 20, 2023 1:44 PM

**To:** Dawn Leduc <[J.Leduc@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:J.Leduc@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Cc:** Pin Cai <[Pin.Cai@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Pin.Cai@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Ajiboye Fakunle <[Ajiboye.Fakunle@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Ajiboye.Fakunle@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Zhihai Ma <[Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: another request for STATs

Hi Dawn

Please see the stats below:

STATs for May 2022 to May 2023 for RAH SCS:

- Total visits for pt supplied substances- **1438**
- Total iOAT visits- **904**

For the other, either I will send you or someone from my team. I do need some clarification on “# of addictions recovery community health consults” – so this is the number of ARCH referrals completed.

Do you have time today for a quick chat?

Kind Regards,  
Ritika

---

**From:** Dawn Leduc <[J.Leduc@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:J.Leduc@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 20, 2023 11:22 AM  
**To:** Ritika Varma <[Ritika.Varma@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Ritika.Varma@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: another request for STATs

They are 2 different requests.

The Hospital Foundation has asked for “# of addictions recovery community health consults” – so this is the number of ARCH referrals completed.

The other is from the research team for SCS total visits for pt supplies substances and iOAT visits.

D

---

**From:** Ritika Varma <[Ritika.Varma@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Ritika.Varma@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 20, 2023 11:19 AM  
**To:** Dawn Leduc <[J.Leduc@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:J.Leduc@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Subject:** Re: another request for STATs

Hi Dawn

Before we were confused on what you are looking for so I was talking with another analyst responsible for ARCH reporting but if it's only these two stats. I can pull that quickly and send



**From:** Tuxephoni Winsor  
**Sent:** Tue, 31 Oct 2023 17:37:49 +0000  
**To:** Shelly Vik  
**Subject:** RE: SCS Reporting to Health Canada

Hmmm  
Shelly Vik, Perfectly Humble & Dedicated?

---

**From:** Shelly Vik <[Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 31, 2023 11:35 AM  
**To:** Tuxephoni Winsor <[Tuxephoni.Winsor@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Tuxephoni.Winsor@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: SCS Reporting to Health Canada

LoL Tux  
Shelly Vik, Piled Higher and Deeper 😊

---

**From:** Tuxephoni Winsor <[Tuxephoni.Winsor@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Tuxephoni.Winsor@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 31, 2023 11:35 AM  
**To:** Shelly Vik <[Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: SCS Reporting to Health Canada

Thanks you fabulous Dr. Vik!! 😊

---

**From:** Shelly Vik <[Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 31, 2023 11:33 AM  
**To:** Tuxephoni Winsor <[Tuxephoni.Winsor@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Tuxephoni.Winsor@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Trish Hanson <[Patricia.Hanson@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Patricia.Hanson@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Cc:** Steven Clelland <[Steven.Clelland@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Steven.Clelland@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Elikem Harewood <[Elikem.Harewood@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Elikem.Harewood@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: SCS Reporting to Health Canada

Oh yes, Elikem is cc'd. 24 (1) (a); 24 (1) (b)

24 (1) (a); 24 (1) (b) - they need to go to ASUSS for that.

No need for anything, PIA changes, or DSA.

Shelly

---

**From:** Tuxephoni Winsor <[Tuxephoni.Winsor@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Tuxephoni.Winsor@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 31, 2023 11:26 AM  
**To:** Trish Hanson <[Patricia.Hanson@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Patricia.Hanson@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Shelly Vik <[Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Cc:** Steven Clelland <[Steven.Clelland@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Steven.Clelland@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: SCS Reporting to Health Canada

Thanks for keeping us looped in Shelly; do we need to give Elikem a heads up (DSA) or is she also already aware

Thanks Trish; I was wondering similar

24 (1) (a); 24 (1) (b)

24 (1) (a); 24 (1)

---

**From:** Trish Hanson <[Patricia.Hanson@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Patricia.Hanson@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 31, 2023 11:12 AM  
**To:** Shelly Vik <[Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Tuxephoni Winsor <[Tuxephoni.Winsor@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Tuxephoni.Winsor@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Cc:** Steven Clelland <[Steven.Clelland@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Steven.Clelland@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: SCS Reporting to Health Canada

Highlighted area...

24 (1) (a); 24 (1) (b)

24 (1) (a);

Trish Hanson, RPN, MHS  
[Patricia.hanson@ahs.ca](mailto:Patricia.hanson@ahs.ca)  
Provincial Initiatives Consultant  
Provincial Addiction and Mental Health  
Alberta Health Services  
Phone: 587-372-0555

---

**From:** Shelly Vik <[Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 31, 2023 10:49 AM  
**To:** Tuxephoni Winsor <[Tuxephoni.Winsor@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Tuxephoni.Winsor@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Trish Hanson <[Patricia.Hanson@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Patricia.Hanson@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Cc:** Steven Clelland <[Steven.Clelland@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Steven.Clelland@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Subject:** FW: SCS Reporting to Health Canada

Looping Tux and Trish in here.

Trish, not sure where the PIA is at, but sounds like we can pull the plug.

Shelly

---

**From:** Coreen Everington <[Coreen.Everington@gov.ab.ca](mailto:Coreen.Everington@gov.ab.ca)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 31, 2023 10:30 AM  
**To:** Kerry Bales <[Kerry.Bales@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Kerry.Bales@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Cc:** Steven Clelland <[Steven.Clelland@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Steven.Clelland@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Shelly Vik <[Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Tara White <[Tara.White@gov.ab.ca](mailto:Tara.White@gov.ab.ca)>; Rachel Johnson <[Rachel.Johnson@gov.ab.ca](mailto:Rachel.Johnson@gov.ab.ca)>; Jennifer Poirier <[Jennifer.Poirier@gov.ab.ca](mailto:Jennifer.Poirier@gov.ab.ca)>  
**Subject:** SCS Reporting to Health Canada

Caution - This email came from an external address and may contain unsafe content. Ensure you trust this sender before opening attachments or clicking any links in this message

---

Hi Kerry,

As you know, Health Canada will be releasing a public dashboard showcasing provincial and site-specific data for drug consumption sites across Canada. They have requested that Alberta, through AHS as the owners of the data, share site-specific data, including elements that are not already publicly available on the Alberta Substance Use Surveillance System (ASUSS) dashboard.

This email is confirmation that the ASUSS will be the only source of publicly available Alberta drug consumption site data and information and therefore

24 (1) (a); 24 (1) (b)

. We are informing Health Canada of this decision and our direction to AHS today.

Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns.

**Coreen Everington** (she/her) ([curious](#) about this?)

Assistant Deputy Minister  
Mental Health and Addiction  
Government of Alberta

Cell [17 \(1\); 20 \(1\) \(m\)](#)  
[coreen.everington@gov.ab.ca](mailto:coreen.everington@gov.ab.ca)

-  
Shaire Ortillano, Executive Assistant  
[shaire.ortillano@gov.ab.ca](mailto:shaire.ortillano@gov.ab.ca)



Classification: Protected A



<[Alyssa.Deacon@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Alyssa.Deacon@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Amy Woroniuk <[Amy.Woroniuk@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Amy.Woroniuk@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Donna Matier <[Donna.Matier@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Donna.Matier@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Marjorie Luzentales-Simpson <[Marjorie.Luzentales-Simpson@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Marjorie.Luzentales-Simpson@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Jane Yi <[Jane.Yi@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Jane.Yi@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Randal Bell <[Randal.Bell@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Randal.Bell@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Val Austen-Wiebe <[Val.Austen-Wiebe@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Val.Austen-Wiebe@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Cathy Scrimshaw <[Cathy.Scrimshaw@acfp.ca](mailto:Cathy.Scrimshaw@acfp.ca)>; Steven Clelland <[Steven.Clelland@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Steven.Clelland@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Sara Tomlinson <[Sara.Tomlinson@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Sara.Tomlinson@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Neha Batra-Garga <[Neha.Batra-Garga@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Neha.Batra-Garga@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Ryanna Coones Long <[Ryanna.CoonesLong@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Ryanna.CoonesLong@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Treena Tallow <[Treena.Tallow-Manson@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Treena.Tallow-Manson@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Janet Wayne <[Janet.Wayne@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Janet.Wayne@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Samantha First Charger <[Samantha.FirstCharger@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Samantha.FirstCharger@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Jennifer Bishop R. <[Jennifer.R.Bishop@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Jennifer.R.Bishop@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Brenda Laboucan (Indigenous Wellness Core) <[Brenda.Laboucan2@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Brenda.Laboucan2@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Kienan Williams <[Kienan.Williams@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Kienan.Williams@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Richard Musto <[Richard.Musto@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Richard.Musto@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Catherine Scrimshaw, Dr. <[Catherine.Scrimshaw@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Catherine.Scrimshaw@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Jeniffer Prasad <[Jeniffer.Prasad@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Jeniffer.Prasad@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Wayne Labonte <[Wayne.Labonte@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Wayne.Labonte@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Tuxephoni Winsor <[Tuxephoni.Winsor@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Tuxephoni.Winsor@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
Cc: Dawn Courtman <[Dawn.Courtman@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Dawn.Courtman@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Jeff Beddome <[Jeff.Beddome@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Jeff.Beddome@albertahealthservices.ca)>; John MacPhee <[John.MacPhee2@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:John.MacPhee2@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Germain Wells <[Germain.Wells@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Germain.Wells@albertahealthservices.ca)>

**Subject:** GOA SCS Review call for Retention

Good morning everyone,

Attached is the paper published by the Canadian Journal of Public Health that addresses the closure of two supervised consumption sites with the justification of what the authors term a “pseudoscientific” report on the socioeconomic harms of this service.

*Sent on behalf of Lori Meckelborg, Director, PIM, Indigenous Wellness Core*

**Alyssa Deacon** (she/her)

Administrative Support for PIM and Innovation & Research

Indigenous Wellness Core

P: (587) 786-3063

[Alyssa.Deacon@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Alyssa.Deacon@albertahealthservices.ca)





# Flawed reports can harm: the case of supervised consumption services in Alberta

Ginetta Salvalaggio<sup>1</sup> · Hannah Brooks<sup>2</sup> · Vera Caine<sup>3</sup> · Marilou Gagnon<sup>3</sup> · Jenny Godley<sup>4</sup> · Stan Houston<sup>1</sup> · Mary Clare Kennedy<sup>5</sup> · Brynn Kosteniuk<sup>6</sup> · Jamie Livingston<sup>7</sup> · Rebecca Saah<sup>8</sup> · Kelsey Speed<sup>9</sup> · Karen Urbanoski<sup>10</sup> · Dan Werb<sup>11,12</sup> · Elaine Hyshka<sup>6</sup>

Received: 27 April 2023 / Accepted: 6 October 2023 / Published online: 6 November 2023  
© The Author(s) 2023

## Abstract

Supervised consumption services have been scaled up within Canada and internationally as an ethical imperative in the context of a public health emergency. A large body of peer-reviewed evidence demonstrates that these services prevent poisoning deaths, reduce infectious disease transmission risk behaviour, and facilitate clients' connections to other health and social services. In 2019, the Alberta government commissioned a review of the socioeconomic impacts of seven supervised consumption services in the province. The report is formatted to appear as an objective, scientifically credible evaluation of these services; however, it is fundamentally methodologically flawed, with a high risk of biases that critically undermine its authors' assessment of the scientific evidence. The report's findings have been used to justify decisions that jeopardize the health and well-being of people who use drugs both in Canada and internationally. Governments must ensure that future assessments of supervised consumption services and other public health measures to address drug poisoning deaths are scientifically sound and methodologically rigorous. Health policy must be based on the best available evidence, protect the right of structurally vulnerable populations to access healthcare, and not be contingent on favourable public opinion or prevailing political ideology.

## Résumé

Les services de consommation supervisée ont été établis au Canada et à l'étranger en tant qu'impératif éthique dans le contexte d'une urgence de santé publique. Un grand nombre d'études rigoureuses démontrent que ces services préviennent les décès par empoisonnement, réduisent les comportements à risque de transmission de maladies infectieuses, et facilitent les liens avec d'autres services sociaux et de santé. En 2019, le gouvernement de l'Alberta a commandé un examen des impacts socioéconomiques de sept services de consommation supervisée dans la province. La présentation du rapport donne l'impression que l'évaluation de ces services est objective et scientifiquement crédible; cependant, il présente des faiblesses importantes au plan méthodologique, notamment en raison de la présence de biais qui compromet l'évaluation des preuves

✉ Ginetta Salvalaggio  
ginetta@ualberta.ca

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada

<sup>2</sup> School of Public Health, University of Alberta, Nashville, TN, USA

<sup>3</sup> School of Nursing, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada

<sup>4</sup> Department of Sociology, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada

<sup>5</sup> British Columbia Centre On Substance Use, University of British Columbia - Okanagan School of Social Work, Vancouver, BC, Canada

<sup>6</sup> School of Public Health, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada

<sup>7</sup> Department of Criminology, Saint Mary's University, Halifax, NS, Canada

<sup>8</sup> Department of Community Health Sciences, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada

<sup>9</sup> School of Public Health, University of Alberta, Vancouver, BC, Canada

<sup>10</sup> School of Public Health & Social Policy, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada

<sup>11</sup> Centre On Drug Policy Evaluation, MAP Centre On Urban Health Solutions, St. Michael's Hospital, Toronto, ON, Canada

<sup>12</sup> Division of Infectious Disease & Global Public Health, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA

scientifiques. Ses conclusions ont été utilisées pour justifier des décisions qui mettent en péril la santé et le bien-être des personnes qui consomment des drogues, tant au Canada qu'à l'étranger. Les gouvernements doivent s'assurer que les futures évaluations des services de consommation supervisée et d'autres mesures de santé publique pour lutter contre les décès par empoisonnement dû aux drogues sont scientifiquement fondées. Les politiques en matière de santé doivent être basées sur les meilleures données disponibles, protéger les droits des populations structurellement vulnérables à accéder aux soins de santé, et ne pas dépendre de l'opinion publique ou d'une idéologie politique dominante.

**Keywords** Substance use · Addiction · Health policy · Public health

**Mots-clés** Consommation de substances · toxicomanie · politique de santé · santé publique

## Introduction

People in Canada are dying from drug poisoning at an alarming rate; the immediate cause of the dramatic increase in deaths is the increasingly toxic unregulated drug supply (Public Health Agency of Canada, 2021). Harm reduction interventions such as supervised consumption services (SCS) are designed to reduce morbidity and mortality among people who use drugs (PWUD) (Health Canada, 2020). However, they remain controversial and are subject to greater scrutiny than other public health measures (Beattie, 2018). In 2019, the government of Alberta commissioned a pseudoscientific panel review to document the harms of SCS (Government of Alberta, 2020); the report has since been used to justify the closure of two Alberta SCS (Kalinowski, 2020; Mohatarem, 2021). An increase in drug poisoning events has been observed following both closures (Alberta Health, 2023). The report has been used in other settings to limit evidence-based responses to poisoning deaths (Tanenbaum, 2021). We call for the report to be retracted by its authors to reduce the risk of future deaths caused by misinformed policy.

## What is the evidence on supervised consumption services?

SCS are a core part of a public health response to an unprecedented poisoning epidemic that has resulted in 36,442 opioid toxicity deaths between January 2016 and December 2022, driven largely by the production and trafficking of novel illegally manufactured opioids (Public Health Agency of Canada, 2021). SCS provide monitored spaces where people can consume drugs without risk of criminal sanction, receive emergency health care if needed, and access sterile harm reduction supplies and health and social supports (Health Canada, 2020). The number of federally sanctioned SCS in Canada increased from two in 2016 to a peak of 42 in 2020 (Health Canada, 2020). Additionally, more than 40 overdose prevention sites—a low-threshold form of SCS meeting an immediate community need and requiring less

pre-implementation consultation—have opened in Canada since 2016. Collectively, these services have prevented and managed thousands of drug poisoning events and saved thousands of lives (Irvine et al., 2019).

SCS are designed to reduce health and social risks, including risks associated with using drugs alone amid a toxic drug supply crisis. They also provide social support for structurally vulnerable populations who experience barriers to accessing health care (Kennedy et al., 2017). A substantial body of peer-reviewed research demonstrates the positive impacts of SCS. A systematic review by Kennedy et al. (with findings later corroborated by Levengood et al.) synthesized 47 studies from Vancouver, Australia, Germany, Denmark, Spain, and the Netherlands (Kennedy et al., 2017; Levengood et al., 2021). Studies adopted a mix of prospective cohort, time series or pre/post ecological, cross-sectional, mathematical simulation, or series cross-sectional designs and the majority were assessed to have good methodological quality. The review found that SCS mitigate drug poisoning-related harm and unsafe drug use practices, facilitate uptake of substance use treatment and other health services, are associated with improvements in public order (e.g., reductions in publicly discarded syringes), do not increase drug-related crime, and are cost-effective. A subsequent modelling study estimated that British Columbia's overdose prevention sites averted 230 deaths in a 20-month period (Irvine et al., 2019). A study from Calgary, Alberta, found significant health system cost-savings arising from decreases in opioid-related ambulance responses and emergency department visits following the implementation of an SCS (Khair et al., 2022).

Even with robust research showing that SCS have strong public health benefits and minimal evidence of harm, negative perceptions persist in some settings. Public and political support for SCS is inconsistent across Canada, and local opposition has led to delays and cancellations of planned facilities (Renic & MacLean, 2020; Simon, 2019). In some jurisdictions, opponents have complained of perceived increases in improperly discarded syringes and criminal activity in surrounding areas, despite a lack of evidence (Beattie, 2018). In Alberta, where eight sites

were implemented in five municipalities between 2017 and 2019, some individuals and organizations representing residents, businesses, law enforcement, and other stakeholders voiced criticism about potential adverse community impacts (Campbell, 2019). Given the large body of scientific evidence that refutes these concerns, the extent to which complaints and negative perceptions of SCS are legitimate—and whether they are a direct outcome of SCS provision versus a reflection of broader socioeconomic disparities, misinformation, and prejudice—needs to be rigorously examined.

Resolving these public tensions requires an objective assessment of individuals' concerns, thoughtful consideration of steps to mitigate any issues identified, and concerted efforts to design SCS in a way that maximizes overdose prevention potential and its positive impact on surrounding communities. In 2019, Alberta's governing United Conservative Party committed to conducting an "impact review" of the province's SCS as part of its election platform and appointed a panel to carry out this review after forming government (Government of Alberta, 2020). However, the panel ultimately tabled a report in March 2020 that—though formatted and presented as a scientific study—failed to meet basic methodological criteria for credible research and evaluation, and contained serious flaws that critically undermined its conclusions.

### Why is the Alberta Government's SCS review report not scientifically sound?

The review panel's evaluation mandate was to assess the "socio-economic impacts of existing and proposed SCS sites on their host communities" (p. iii) (Government of Alberta, 2020). The eight panel members engaged in public in-person consultations, collected online data from a convenience sample, and examined SCS-related data and documents. Although touted as a rigorous review of SCS across the province, the panel's 2020 report fits the following criteria associated with pseudoscience: (a) outcome reporting bias; (b) measurement bias; (c) confirmation bias; and (d) lack of independent peer review (Jakovljević & Ostojić, 2016).

*Outcome reporting bias* refers to bias stemming from selective data collection procedures and unbalanced reporting of results without adequate consideration of data refuting the findings. The Alberta government's review was set up to have a high risk of outcome reporting bias given its stated aim "to minimize the adverse social and economic impacts of existing SCS sites on local neighbourhoods" (p. 2). Moreover, "the merits of SCS as a harm reduction tool" (p. 2) were outside the review's scope (Government of Alberta, 2020). The review therefore pre-supposed that there were adverse impacts of SCS sites and explicitly excluded any positive health and social impacts of SCS from

its assessment. The report also does not present a balanced account of the information collected throughout the review, emphasizing anecdotes that support the government's ideological position on SCS and skimming over or excluding evidence that counters the government's views. For example, the report references healthcare professionals who perceive SCS as "unethical" (p. 24), yet discredits other healthcare professionals for (correctly) citing evidence of minimal risk of injury from discarded needles (p. 5) (Government of Alberta, 2020). It does not include healthcare professional comments in support of SCS, as described by news media attending the panel's town halls (Kindleman, 2019; Mendonsa, 2019). The report also relies on survey data in which one smaller city is disproportionately represented to support specific town hall narratives of perceived increases in crime and social disorder (p. 14); in contrast, survey respondents from larger centres report a net neutral impact from SCS on such metrics as perceived safety, public consumption, and discarded needles (pp. 51–54) (Government of Alberta, 2020). Unlike survey responses presented in the report's appendices (pp. 51–188), transcripts of town hall events are not publicly available, leaving readers unable to confirm the trustworthiness of the review panel's supplied interpretation of qualitative data. Thus, the reported outcomes and subsequent conclusions appear fundamentally skewed.

*Measurement bias* involves the systematically imprecise measurement of observed phenomena and can occur with both over-reliance on anecdotal evidence and faulty assumptions about the intervention under study. Detracting from the credibility of the commissioned review is a deliberate mischaracterization of SCS. For example, the report states that "the primary mandate of the SCS—preventing poisoning deaths—does not seem to apply to amphetamine use" and describes the use of SCS by people who use methamphetamine in negative terms (p. 14) (Government of Alberta, 2020), when, in fact, SCS are designed to minimize harms associated with any unregulated drug use (Alberta Health, 2020; Kennedy et al., 2017). The report also downplays oxygen as a vital intervention independent of naloxone co-administration. It suggests that non-naloxone emergency care does not constitute a poisoning response, excluding non-naloxone responses from its assessment of SCS-provided overdose interventions (p. 18) (Government of Alberta, 2020). This demonstrates a lack of understanding of the continuum of poisoning presentations and related care (Kerr et al., 2006), and is a dangerous assumption in an era of increasing non-opioid (e.g., benzodiazepine) contamination within Alberta's drug supply. Finally, the report relies heavily on community anecdotes of SCS leading to "strange, aggressive, or bizarre behaviour" (p. 13) and "the appearance and especially the location of tent cities" (p. 6). The supplied anecdotes misattribute SCS as the cause of perceived social disorder

despite the complex and intersecting circumstances faced by SCS clients such as homelessness, poverty, and other markers of structural vulnerability (Government of Alberta, 2020). While SCS can refer clients to external health and social services (e.g., housing, income support) (Kennedy et al., 2017), these are broader issues that SCS are not designed to address on their own. These statements demonstrate a poor understanding of SCS by the review panel itself, bringing the accuracy of the report's supplied data and conclusions into question.

*Confirmation bias* is the over-weighting of hypothesis-supporting evidence and under-weighting of hypothesis-refuting evidence. Failure to situate results within existing literature that contradicts a study's claims increases the risk of confirmation bias when drawing conclusions, and undermines the development of evidence-based recommendations (Jakovljević & Ostojić, 2016). The commissioned SCS report relies primarily on the selective data collected during the review and fails to draw on any prior Canadian or international literature, nor does it discuss whether its results support or refute findings from previous research or evaluations. While the review may be the first to find significant negative impacts of SCS, it is difficult to discern the validity of these observations in the absence of sound study methodology, and thus, it is impossible to situate the report within existing literature (Livingston, 2021). There is a high risk of confirmation bias given the discrepancy between the SCS report's findings and the findings of other peer-reviewed evidence syntheses.

*Lack of peer review:* Finally, an absence of external, independent peer review calls into question the credibility of the report's findings, as peer review is designed to detect and minimize errors and biases in methods and reasoning (Cowell, 2014). In a subsequent peer-reviewed critique of the report, Livingston (2021) identified many methodological flaws, including non-representative convenience sampling, inappropriate definitions and measurements, absence of inferential analyses of data, and misattribution of causality between SCS provision and socioeconomic outcomes (Livingston, 2021). While Livingston discussed these limitations in reference to crime data, they hold true for the other health and social data presented in the report, such as adverse medical events (p. 18) and addiction treatment access (p. 6) (Government of Alberta, 2020).

### What are the potential and real implications of this pseudoscientific report?

The Alberta government SCS review report has already been used to counter efforts to increase the availability of life-saving services for PWUD in Canada and internationally, and it may continue to reinforce harm. Following the

March 2020 release of the report, the cancellation of all plans for proposed new SCS across Alberta was publicly announced. In August 2020, government funding was retracted from North America's busiest SCS in Lethbridge, forcing it to close its doors (Kalinowski, 2020). The closure of the Boyle Street SCS in Edmonton followed in April 2021 (Mohatarem, 2021). Although peer-reviewed studies evaluating these site closures have not yet been published, the closures are temporally associated with impacts one would expect based on existing peer-reviewed literature; in Edmonton, for example, poisoning deaths increased from 47.4/100,000 person years/month in February–April 2021 (preceding the SCS closure) to 65.8/100,000 person years/month in May–July 2021 (following the SCS closure), a temporal trend that was not observed in Alberta's other municipalities (Alberta Health, 2023). EMS responses and acute care utilization for poisoning events demonstrate similar temporally associated increases (Alberta Health, 2023). Instead of poisonings being managed in the community in a supervised, timely fashion to avoid poor outcomes, a health system witnessing unprecedented strain from the COVID-19 pandemic was handed the additional task of managing these avoidable acute care presentations.

Outside of Canada, the report has been cited in civil litigation concerning Safehouse, a proposed overdose prevention site in Philadelphia. The report was cited by the United States federal government as a lone piece of evidence demonstrating that SCS can have negative impacts on their surrounding communities (Safehouse, n.d.). In January 2021, the Third Circuit Court of Appeals ruled against motions to allow Safehouse to operate legally (Tanenbaum, 2021).

A similar, though failed, strategy was used against SCS in 2008 when the Government of Canada used opinion statements by federally funded law enforcement agencies to propose ending the legal exemption of Canada's first SCS, Insite (Hyshka et al., 2013). Their decision resulted in a legal challenge, which culminated in the Supreme Court of Canada ruling that closing Insite would be a violation of the Charter of Rights and Freedoms for SCS clients (Hyshka et al., 2013). This earlier example highlights the real potential for reports unsubstantiated by sound research to undermine harm reduction service operations well beyond provincial jurisdiction.

### What can be done to mitigate the dangerous repercussions of this report?

It is critical that the report be retracted by the Alberta government, and that any of its scientifically trained authors distance themselves immediately from its contents. There is no room for a report with a high risk of multiple biases in making decisions affecting the health and well-being of structurally

vulnerable populations and their communities. Rather, there is a need for a peer-reviewed, methodologically sound, and ethically principled evaluation of SCS in Alberta that accounts for both health and social benefits and potential harms and outlines strategies for balancing participant and community health and safety as needed. SCS, like other population health interventions, should continue to be scientifically evaluated to ensure that they are accomplishing their objectives and not causing unintended harm. However, assessments of SCS must use robust methodologies and fair evaluation criteria that prioritize the health of the target population, their families, and communities over public perceptions.

The continued existence of this pseudoscientific government report risks legitimizing similar approaches to future evidence generation and policy formation. In early 2022, the Alberta government proceeded with methodologically flawed evidence review activities concerning another harm reduction topic—safer supply—generating a June 2022 report intended to guide future government action (*Safe Supply Committee*, n.d.). Multiple independent academics and PWUD have publicly flagged the safer supply review process as pseudoscientific and potentially harmful (BCCSU, 2022). Indeed, rather than relying on peer-reviewed evidence, the Alberta government policy direction has shifted to align itself with the findings of its commissioned reports, while drug poisoning harms continue unabated; 803 more Albertans have died from drug poisoning in the first 5 months of 2023, which is potentially vying for status as the deadliest year on record (Alberta Health, 2023). Future topic reviews by legislators—especially for polarizing issues—should be conducted transparently with the support of independent experts in evaluation and review methods. All conflicts of interest should be declared. If the aim is to produce de novo evidence syntheses to guide decision-making, proposed review methodologies and methods and emerging reports must consider the context of the existing scientific literature, and be subject to external peer review.

## Conclusion

Despite peer-reviewed studies demonstrating that supervised consumption services prevent poisoning deaths and reduce other harms for PWUD, SCS continue to face opposition. The Alberta government's pseudoscientific report—although presented as credible findings—is methodologically flawed with a high risk of multiple forms of bias. Drug policy should be based on the best available evidence, aim to protect structurally vulnerable populations' access to healthcare, and not be contingent on prevailing ideology. There is an urgent need to shift away from commissioning reports that at their core seek to create moral panic. The Alberta government's SCS review report could continue to

be used to justify decisions that jeopardize the health and well-being of PWUD for years to come and erode trust in public health policies and practices.

**Author contributions** DW, H–S, MG, KU, JL, JG, VC, MCK, and SH contributed substantially to the conception of the manuscript and revised it critically. KS, HB, EH, and BK carried out the literature review and drafted the manuscript. GS oversaw manuscript writing and all revisions, and is the guarantor of the clinical content of this submission. All authors have provided final approval of the version submitted herein.

**Availability of data and material** Not applicable.

**Code availability** Not applicable.

## Declarations

**Ethics approval** Not applicable.

**Consent to participate** Not applicable.

**Consent for publication** Not applicable.

**Conflict of interest** Hyshka is supported by a Canada Research Chair in Health Systems Innovation. She has previously held contracts for data coordination and reporting from Edmonton's four supervised consumption services operators, and supervised consumption services-related research grants from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, the Canadian Research Initiative on Substance Misuse, and the Royal Alexandra Hospital Foundation. She has previously advised Health Canada and the Government of Alberta on supervised consumption services implementation and regulation. Brooks was previously employed at the University of Alberta as a data coordinator supporting Edmonton's four supervised consumption services. The authors declare no other competing interests.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

## References

- Alberta Health. (2020). *Alberta COVID-19 opioid response surveillance report Q2 2020* (p. 41). Retrieved October 17, 2023 from <https://open.alberta.ca/publications/alberta-opioid-response-surveillance-report>
- Alberta Health. (2023). *Alberta substance use surveillance system*. Retrieved October 17, 2023 from <https://www.alberta.ca/substance-use-surveillance-data.aspx>
- BCCSU. (2022). *Re: Invitation from the select special committee to examine safe supply*. Retrieved October 17, 2023 from <https://>

- [www.bccsu.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/Response-Alberta-Safe-Supply-Committee.pdf](http://www.bccsu.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/Response-Alberta-Safe-Supply-Committee.pdf)
- Beattie, S. (2018). Do supervised injection sites bring crime and disorder? Advocates and residents disagree. *TheStar.Com*. Retrieved October 17, 2023 from <https://www.thestar.com/news/gta/2018/08/15/do-supervised-injection-sites-bring-crime-and-disorder-advocates-and-residents-disagree.html>
- Campbell, Q. (2019). *Debate over supervised consumption sites ramps up across Alberta* | *Globalnews.ca*. Retrieved October 17, 2023 from <https://globalnews.ca/news/5477236/supervised-consumption-sites-alberta-drugs-debate/>
- Cowell, J. M. (2014). Importance of peer review. *The Journal of School Nursing*, 30(6), 394–395. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1059840514557235>
- Government of Alberta. (2020). *Impact: A socio-economic review of supervised consumption sites in Alberta*. Retrieved October 17, 2023 from <https://www.alberta.ca/supervised-consumption-services-review.aspx>
- Health Canada. (2020, August 17). *Supervised consumption sites and services*. Retrieved October 17, 2023 from <https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/substance-use/supervised-consumption-sites.html>
- Hyshka, E., Bubela, T., & Wild, T. C. (2013). Prospects for scaling-up supervised injection facilities in Canada: The role of evidence in legal and political decision-making. *Addiction*, 108(3), 468–476. <https://doi.org/10.1111/add.12064>
- Irvine, M. A., Kuo, M., Buxton, J. A., Balshaw, R., Otterstatter, M., Macdougall, L., Milloy, M., Bharmal, A., Henry, B., Tyndall, M., Coombs, D., & Gilbert, M. (2019). Modelling the combined impact of interventions in averting deaths during a synthetic-opioid overdose epidemic. *Addiction*, 114(9), 1602–1613. <https://doi.org/10.1111/add.14664>
- Jakovljević, M., & Ostojić, L. (2016). Science and pseudoscience in medicine: Evidence-based vs. evidence-biased medicine. *Psychiatria Danubina*, 28, 186–190.
- Kalinowski, T. (2020). ARCHES to shutter SCS. *The Lethbridge Herald - News and Sports from around Lethbridge*. Retrieved October 17, 2023 from <https://lethbridgeherald.com/news/lethbridge-news/2020/08/12/arches-to-shutter-scs/>
- Kennedy, M. C., Karamouzian, M., & Kerr, T. (2017). Public health and public order outcomes associated with supervised drug consumption facilities: A systematic review. *Current HIV/AIDS Reports*, 14, 161–183. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11904-017-0363-y>
- Kerr, T., Tyndall, M. W., Lai, C., Montaner, J. S. G., & Wood, E. (2006). Drug-related overdoses within a medically supervised safer injection facility. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 17(5), 436–441. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2006.05.008>
- Khair, S., Eastwood, C. A., Lu, M., & Jackson, J. (2022). Supervised consumption site enables cost savings by avoiding emergency services: A cost analysis study. *Harm Reduction Journal*, 19(1), 32. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12954-022-00609-5>
- Kindleman, T. (2019, September 19). *Supervised consumption site review panel makes final stop in Edmonton* | *CBC News*. CBC. Retrieved October 17, 2023 from <https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/edmonton/alberta-supervised-consumption-site-review-panel-edmonton-1.5289327>
- Levengood, T. W., Yoon, G. H., Davoust, M. J., Ogden, S. N., Marshall, B. D. L., Cahill, S. R., & Bazzi, A. R. (2021). Supervised injection facilities as harm reduction: A systematic review. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 61(5), 738–749. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2021.04.017>
- Livingston, J. D. (2021). Supervised consumption sites and crime: Scrutinizing the methodological weaknesses and aberrant results of a government report in Alberta, Canada. *Harm Reduction Journal*, 18(1), 1–5.
- Mendonça, K. (2019). *SCS review in Red Deer sees emotional response from community*. LacombeOnline. Retrieved September 18, 2023 from <https://lacombeonline.com/articles/scs-review>
- Mohatarem, K. F. (2021). Boyle Street supervised consumption site closing permanently | *CBC News*. CBC. Retrieved October 17, 2023 from <https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/edmonton/edmonton-boyle-street-supervised-consumption-1.6005939>
- Public Health Agency of Canada. (2021). *Opioid- and stimulant-related harms in Canada (December 2021)*. Government of Canada. Retrieved October 17, 2023 from <https://health-infobase.canada.ca/substance-related-harms/opioids-stimulants/>
- Renic, K., & MacLean, A. (2020). Nova Scotia government still not committing to supporting an overdose prevention site. *Global News*. Retrieved January 22, 2021 from <https://globalnews.ca/news/7237654/nova-scotia-government-support-overdose-prevention-site/>
- Safe Supply Committee. (n.d.). Retrieved May 2, 2022 from <https://www.assembly.ab.ca/assembly-business/committees/ESS>
- Safehouse. (n.d.). *United States v. Safehouse* | *Safehouse*. Retrieved January 14, 2021 from <https://www.safehousephilly.org/us-v-safehouse>
- Simon, C. (2019). Barrie council further delays decision on supervised drug-consumption site. *Simcoe.Com*. Retrieved October 17, 2023 from [https://www.simcoe.com/news/council/barrie-council-further-delays-decision-on-supervised-drug-consumption-site/article\\_5585d14f-e2d2-5986-aba9-3d41ca4745e9.html](https://www.simcoe.com/news/council/barrie-council-further-delays-decision-on-supervised-drug-consumption-site/article_5585d14f-e2d2-5986-aba9-3d41ca4745e9.html)
- Tanenbaum, M. (2021). Philly plan for supervised injection site shot down with federal court reversal. *PhillyVoice*. Retrieved October 17, 2023 from <https://www.phillyvoice.com/philly-opioid-overdose-prevention-site-supervised-injection-federal-courts-overturns/>

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**From:** Susan Rawlings  
**Sent:** Fri, 15 Dec 2023 20:04:26 +0000  
**To:** Jodie Chapman; Joshua Moser  
**Subject:** FW: update for CC data issues

**Susan Rawlings RN, BN, MBA**

Director, Clinical Business Initiatives  
Provincial Addiction and Mental Health  
Alberta Health Services  
10101 Southport Road SW  
Calgary, AB T2W 3N2  
Work Cell: 403-971-0280  
MS Teams: 587-774-0089  
e-mail: [susan.rawlings@ahs.ca](mailto:susan.rawlings@ahs.ca)

*This message, and any documents attached hereto, is intended only for the addressee and may contain privileged or confidential information. Any unauthorized disclosure is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify me immediately so that I may correct my internal records. Please then delete the original message. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Thank you.*

---

**From:** Shelly Vik <Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca>  
**Sent:** Friday, December 15, 2023 12:06 PM  
**To:** Steven Clelland <Steven.Clelland@albertahealthservices.ca>; Jennifer Bishop R. <Jennifer.R.Bishop@albertahealthservices.ca>; Neha Batra-Garga <Neha.Batra-Garga@albertahealthservices.ca>  
**Cc:** Susan Rawlings <Susan.Rawlings@albertahealthservices.ca>; Tuxephoni Winsor <Tuxephoni.Winsor@albertahealthservices.ca>  
**Subject:** update for CC data issues

Hi All,

1. Susan and team are working hard to get things sorted for the missing referral wait time data. We are hoping that issues will be resolved by mid-Jan – we hope to have a more definitive timeline after a meeting with operations next week.
2. Options for addressing missing referrals are being assessed to see if historic data can be populated
3. SCS: issues from the Red Deer OPS are being corrected by the site, issues have also come up in Lethbridge, which recently went on CC, MHA is aware and is in discussion.

Reach out if you have any questions.

Shelly

**From:** Shelly Vik  
**Sent:** Mon, 18 Dec 2023 23:32:20 +0000  
**To:** Steven Clelland  
**Subject:** FW: fyi - SCS study

Fyi, so it looks like Kay has not seen it yet.

---

**From:** Tyler Marshall <Tyler.Marshall@gov.ab.ca>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 18, 2023 4:22 PM  
**To:** Shelly Vik <Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca>  
**Subject:** RE: fyi - SCS study

Thanks Shelly, I am also going to send to Kay to review as well, per Kenton's direction. We can chat more Thursday re next steps 😊

Tyler

---

Classification: Protected A

---

**From:** Shelly Vik <[Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Sent:** December 18, 2023 3:10 PM  
**To:** Tyler Marshall <[Tyler.Marshall@gov.ab.ca](mailto:Tyler.Marshall@gov.ab.ca)>  
**Subject:** FW: fyi - SCS study

**CAUTION:** This email has been sent from an external source. Treat hyperlinks and attachments in this email with care.

---

Classification: Protected A

---

**From:** Shelly Vik <[Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Sent:** Friday, December 08, 2023 3:19 PM  
**To:** Tyler Marshall <[Tyler.Marshall@gov.ab.ca](mailto:Tyler.Marshall@gov.ab.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: fyi - SCS study

What timing! Thank you, yes, I do have a copy here (and a few others) but have only had a glance so far.

Here is the document we discussed last week (thanks again for coming for the F2F meeting 😊). I left this as a word doc in case you wish to revise.

Have a great weekend, Shelly

---

**From:** Tyler Marshall <[Tyler.Marshall@gov.ab.ca](mailto:Tyler.Marshall@gov.ab.ca)>  
**Sent:** Friday, December 08, 2023 2:59 PM  
**To:** Shelly Vik <[Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Subject:** fyi - SCS study

Caution - This email came from an external address and may contain unsafe content. Ensure you trust this sender before opening attachments or clicking any links in this message

---

Hi Shelly, just an fyi – not sure if you have seen this study.

Wonder if this could be a helpful framework /starting place for helping design subsequent SLIR methods protocol? Might be overkill though, but just wondering if you had seen this or had any thoughts. Have a nice weekend,

Tyler

Tyler Marshall, PhD MPH (he/him)

Senior Policy Analyst  
Policy Implementation Unit  
System Enhancement and Legislation Branch  
Ministry of Mental Health and Addiction  
Government of Alberta

Email: [tyler.marshall@gov.ab.ca](mailto:tyler.marshall@gov.ab.ca)



Classification: Protected A

---

This message and any attached documents are only for the use of the intended recipient(s), are confidential and may contain privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, retransmission, or other disclosure is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately, and then delete the original message. Thank you.

---

**From:** Michele Dyson  
**Sent:** Fri, 12 Jan 2024 21:20:45 +0000  
**To:** Shelly Vik; Zhihai Ma  
**Subject:** RE: Lethbridge mortality  
**Attachments:** 2024-01-11\_Lethbridge SCS Drug Poisoning Deaths Report.docx

Hi there,

Here is the draft of the Lethbridge SCS report. Jack, thanks so much for your explanations – please let me know if I’ve messed them up!

Let me know if there’s anything you’d like me to change or add before this is sent out.

Thanks,

Michele

---

**From:** Shelly Vik <Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 9, 2024 7:54 AM  
**To:** Michele Dyson <Michele.Dyson@albertahealthservices.ca>; Zhihai Ma <Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca>  
**Subject:** RE: Lethbridge mortality

Thanks all, I agree, need to discuss alternate methods. Shelly

---

**From:** Michele Dyson <[Michele.Dyson@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Michele.Dyson@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 08, 2024 2:28 PM  
**To:** Shelly Vik <[Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Zhihai Ma <[Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Subject:** Lethbridge mortality

Hi there,

Please see the figures below (also saved: 24 (1) (a); 24 (1) (b)  
24 (1) (a); 24 (1) (b))

Michele

**From:** Tuxephoni Winsor  
**Sent:** Fri, 19 Jan 2024 19:50:13 +0000  
**To:** Shelly Vik  
**Subject:** RE: Red Deer OPS Monthly Service Utilization Reporting- December 2023

Thanks Shelly  
Brilliant/helpful rationale as why urgent correction not helpful/required

---

**From:** Shelly Vik <Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 19, 2024 12:18 PM  
**To:** Cindy Staniforth <Cindy.Staniforth@albertahealthservices.ca>; Natasha Stagg <Natasha.Stagg@albertahealthservices.ca>  
**Cc:** Sherie Allen <Sherie.Allen@albertahealthservices.ca>; Trish Hanson <Patricia.Hanson@albertahealthservices.ca>; Tuxephoni Winsor <Tuxephoni.Winsor@albertahealthservices.ca>; Steven Clelland <Steven.Clelland@albertahealthservices.ca>; Zhihai Ma <Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca>; Ajiboye Fakunle <Ajiboye.Fakunle@albertahealthservices.ca>  
**Subject:** RE: Red Deer OPS Monthly Service Utilization Reporting- December 2023

Hello All,

The team will not be submitting any revisions until thorough review later next week. We do not want to have to go back to gov with more corrections, and this is always a major concern when there is a rush.

As well – it does not sound like the main numbers (visits and distinct individuals) is problematic. We just checked in CC, so even with corrections still getting similar counts for visits and distinct patients. Also, my understanding is that when the reporting team has met with the site, the main revisions are expected to be for the adverse events , which are not at issue here.

An aside; I believe that Turning Point numbers were higher, but I am not aware of any validation ever being done. As well, the new AHS site is now requesting PHNs, which may have resulted in some reduction of visits.

I understand the pressures and urgency, please let me know if anyone would like to meet.

Thank you, Shelly

---

**From:** Cindy Staniforth <[Cindy.Staniforth@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Cindy.Staniforth@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 19, 2024 11:00 AM  
**To:** Zhihai Ma <[Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Natasha Stagg <[Natasha.Stagg@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Natasha.Stagg@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Ajiboye Fakunle <[Ajiboye.Fakunle@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Ajiboye.Fakunle@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Cc:** Shelly Vik <[Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Sherie Allen <[Sherie.Allen@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Sherie.Allen@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Trish Hanson <[Patricia.Hanson@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Patricia.Hanson@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: Red Deer OPS Monthly Service Utilization Reporting- December 2023

Good morning,

Unfortunately, we have our city council meeting next Monday and they may be making a decision on the future of our overdose prevention site utilizing data that potentially does not reflect the accurate number of visits since AHS assumed operations. Is there any way this can be expedited?

Many thanks,  
Cindy

Cindy Staniforth, MC  
Director  
Adult Community Addiction and Mental Health  
& Units 34/36 at Red Deer Regional Hospital  
Alberta Health Services  
4733- 49 Street, Red Deer, AB  
Tel: (825)221-2068  
Cell: (403)318-5508



---

**From:** Zhihai Ma <[Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 19, 2024 10:57 AM  
**To:** Natasha Stagg <[Natasha.Stagg@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Natasha.Stagg@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Ajiboye Fakunle <[Ajiboye.Fakunle@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Ajiboye.Fakunle@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Cc:** Cindy Staniforth <[Cindy.Staniforth@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Cindy.Staniforth@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Shelly Vik <[Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: Red Deer OPS Monthly Service Utilization Reporting- December 2023

Hi Natasha,

AJ is working on the correction for June to November's reports. We need to submit the corrections by next Friday(Jan. 26), so we should be able to share the corrected reports by then.

Thanks,  
Jack

---

**From:** Natasha Stagg <[Natasha.Stagg@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Natasha.Stagg@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 19, 2024 10:51 AM  
**To:** Ajiboye Fakunle <[Ajiboye.Fakunle@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Ajiboye.Fakunle@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Cc:** Zhihai Ma <[Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Cindy Staniforth <[Cindy.Staniforth@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Cindy.Staniforth@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Shelly Vik <[Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Subject:** Re: Red Deer OPS Monthly Service Utilization Reporting- December 2023

Hello,

I'm referring to the data we've talked about needing to be resubmitted from June to Nov. The visits and overdoses. You said you'd be working on it after the Dec report was submitted so I was wondering how soon it can be submitted and or shared with the site.

Natasha Stagg, BScN  
Manager  
Overdose Prevention Site

Community Addiction and Mental Health  
Alberta Health Services  
C: (587) 679-4284

This email may contain confidential and privileged information that is intended for the person/persons indicated above. Any distribution, copying, or disclosure without consent is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please call the number above immediately and then delete/shred the original message. Thank you.

---

**From:** Ajiboye Fakunle <[Ajiboye.Fakunle@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Ajiboye.Fakunle@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 19, 2024 10:42:20 AM  
**To:** Natasha Stagg <[Natasha.Stagg@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Natasha.Stagg@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Cc:** Zhihai Ma <[Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Cindy Staniforth <[Cindy.Staniforth@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Cindy.Staniforth@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Shelly Vik <[Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: Red Deer OPS Monthly Service Utilization Reporting- December 2023

Hello Natasha

Could you please clarify what numbers are inaccurate?

AJ

---

**From:** Natasha Stagg <[Natasha.Stagg@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Natasha.Stagg@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 19, 2024 10:27 AM  
**To:** Ajiboye Fakunle <[Ajiboye.Fakunle@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Ajiboye.Fakunle@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Cc:** Zhihai Ma <[Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Cindy Staniforth <[Cindy.Staniforth@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Cindy.Staniforth@albertahealthservices.ca)>; Shelly Vik <[Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Shelly.Vik@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Subject:** Re: Red Deer OPS Monthly Service Utilization Reporting- December 2023

Hello,

Have the reports been fixed? The numbers submitted to GOA that were inaccurate are being used as a way to close the OPS on Monday. How can I get these numbers ASAP?

Natasha Stagg, BScN  
Manager  
Overdose Prevention Site  
Community Addiction and Mental Health  
Alberta Health Services  
C: (587) 679-4284

This email may contain confidential and privileged information that is intended for the person/persons indicated above. Any distribution, copying, or disclosure without consent is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please call the number above immediately and then delete/shred the original message. Thank you.

---

**From:** Ajiboye Fakunle <[Ajiboye.Fakunle@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Ajiboye.Fakunle@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 15, 2024 4:46:28 PM  
**To:** Natasha Stagg <[Natasha.Stagg@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Natasha.Stagg@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Cc:** Zhihai Ma <[Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca](mailto:Zhihai.Ma@albertahealthservices.ca)>  
**Subject:** Red Deer OPS Monthly Service Utilization Reporting- December 2023

Hi Natasha

Please find attached the submitted MHSPA report for the month of December 2023 for Red Deer OPS.

Thank you.  
AJ

