

# *The Impact of Fake News on Well-Being: A Psychological and Societal Analysis*

## **Introduction**

In today's information-rich world, social media has transformed our access to knowledge and news. However, this unprecedented access has introduced a new and troubling phenomenon: the rise of fake news. Defined as deliberately false or misleading information presented under the guise of legitimate news, fake news exploits emotions, fosters polarization, and distorts public understanding. While misinformation has existed throughout history, its influence has been magnified in the digital era, where social media platforms amplify its reach, allowing false information to spread quickly and widely.

The rapid spread of fake news is exacerbated by algorithms that prioritize engagement—likes, shares, and comments—over accuracy, making sensationalized or emotionally charged content more likely to go viral than verified information. Research shows that fake news stories are 70% more likely to be shared than factual ones, as sensationalism drives higher engagement (Duffy, Tandoc and Ling, 2019). This tendency not only impacts individual mental health but also societal trust in institutions and the media. This essay explores how fake news impacts both personal well-being and collective trust, particularly during the COVID-19 pandemic, which saw misinformation spread about health and safety measures. Lastly, the essay suggests strategies for reducing these impacts, emphasizing the importance of media literacy, emotional awareness, and regulatory solutions for improving the quality of online information.

## **Understanding Fake News**

Fake news refers to the deliberate dissemination of false or misleading information, often crafted to appear legitimate to deceive or manipulate public perception. The rise of digital media and social platforms has facilitated its spread, as algorithms prioritize engagement and sensationalism over accuracy. This type of misinformation includes both misinformation—false information shared without harmful intent—and disinformation, which is specifically designed to mislead (K, P and L, 2020).

A defining characteristic of fake news is its reliance on emotional content. Fake news stories often use exaggerated language or sensationalized claims to elicit strong emotional reactions, such as fear, anger, or excitement, making individuals more likely to believe and share them (Preston et al., 2021). This manipulation becomes particularly dangerous during public health crises. For instance, during the COVID-19 pandemic, misinformation about unproven treatments, false vaccine risks, and the virus's origins spread rapidly, leading to confusion, fear, and mistrust among the public (Croce and Piazza, 2021). Studies have shown that emotionally charged content, especially health-related claims, spreads six times faster than factual information (Duffy, Tandoc and Ling, 2019).

Fake news exploits cognitive biases such as confirmation bias, where individuals are more likely to accept information that aligns with their pre-existing beliefs. This makes people more vulnerable to fake

news that confirms their perspectives (Escolà-Gascón et al., 2023). Additionally, long-term exposure to fake news can lead to mental health issues, including increased levels of anxiety, depression, and emotional fatigue. During the COVID-19 pandemic, nearly half of the participants in a study reported significant stress due to constant exposure to conflicting information online (Elbarazi et al., 2022). This creates a feedback loop where users are consistently exposed to sensationalized or false news, deepening their emotional investment and making them more resistant to information that challenges their viewpoints (Cerovac and Drmic, 2023).

Fake news also erodes societal trust. Misinformation about health, politics, and social issues can weaken faith in institutions like the media, government, and healthcare organizations. For example, during the COVID-19 pandemic, fake news fueled widespread vaccine hesitancy, with many rejecting scientifically supported vaccines due to misleading information about their safety (Croce and Piazza, 2021). This erosion of trust not only undermines public health efforts but also fosters division within society, as different groups adhere to conflicting sets of misinformation (Alkhalili and Robila, 2021).

### **Psychological Impact of Fake News on Individuals**

The psychological effects of fake news are far-reaching, influencing individuals' emotional well-being, cognitive processes, and mental health. Fake news frequently uses emotional manipulation to provoke strong reactions, making individuals more likely to believe and share the content without questioning its validity. During crises, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, emotionally charged misinformation—such as exaggerated claims about the virus's severity or unproven treatments—intensified public fear and anxiety. A study found that emotionally driven misinformation spread six times faster than verified news, increasing individuals' vulnerability and leading to irrational decisions based on false information (Elbarazi et al., 2022).

Cognitive biases amplify the influence of fake news. Confirmation bias causes people to accept information that aligns with their beliefs, even if it is inaccurate (Escolà-Gascón et al., 2023). Studies show that individuals with strong political or ideological biases are particularly susceptible to misinformation, as they actively seek news that reinforces their views while dismissing contrary evidence (Bryanov and Vziatysheva, 2021). This selective filtering creates an environment where fake news can thrive, with individuals becoming increasingly resistant to evidence that contradicts their worldviews. In some cases, this leads to the formation of echo chambers, where only reinforcing information is consumed, further deepening polarization.

Prolonged exposure to fake news exacerbates mental health issues. For instance, during the COVID-19 pandemic, 49% of individuals reported poorer well-being as a result of consuming fake news (Elbarazi et al., 2022). The emotional toll of constantly encountering conflicting, sensationalized, and often false information can overwhelm individuals, leading to emotional fatigue and reducing their ability to

discern credible information from misinformation. This can foster cognitive disengagement, where individuals stop questioning information altogether due to exhaustion. Emotional fatigue, when left unchecked, can result in harmful decision-making, especially when misinformation concerns public health or safety (Rocha et al., 2021).

### **COVID-19 and the Fake News Infodemic**

The COVID-19 pandemic serves as a critical case study of how fake news can exacerbate a global crisis. Alongside the virus, the world encountered an “infodemic”—an overwhelming flood of both accurate and inaccurate information that blurred the lines between truth and fiction. The World Health Organization (WHO) declared that this infodemic was nearly as dangerous as the virus itself, as misinformation caused widespread panic, encouraged harmful behaviors, and put additional pressure on health systems (Elbarazi et al., 2022).

A particularly dangerous aspect of the COVID-19 infodemic was the spread of misinformation about unverified treatments. False claims about home remedies, such as ingesting disinfectants or using certain herbs to “cure” COVID-19, circulated widely on social media, causing real-world harm. In Iran, hundreds of individuals died from consuming methanol-based alcohol, believing it would protect them from COVID-19 (Rocha et al., 2021). Similarly, unfounded claims linking vaccines to severe health risks led to widespread vaccine hesitancy, contributing to lower vaccination rates and prolonging the health crisis (Croce and Piazza, 2021).

The COVID-19 infodemic also had a profound effect on mental health. Studies show that people who consumed large amounts of COVID-19-related misinformation experienced heightened levels of stress, anxiety, and depression. According to a cross-sectional study, individuals who relied on social media as their primary source of COVID-19 information reported significantly poorer mental health outcomes compared to those who accessed information from reliable sources (Elbarazi et al., 2022). This suggests that not only the content but also the platform through which fake news is disseminated plays a critical role in its impact on well-being.

### **Societal Impact of Fake News**

The societal consequences of fake news extend well beyond individual psychology, affecting public trust, social cohesion, and public safety. One significant impact is the deepening of social and political polarization. By creating echo chambers where people encounter only information that reinforces their beliefs, fake news drives communities further apart. During the COVID-19 pandemic, misinformation about lockdowns, vaccines, and other health measures polarized public opinion, creating divisions over government mandates and fueling mistrust (Alkhalili and Robila, 2021).

Beyond COVID-19, fake news has been shown to influence political stability. During the 2016 U.S. presidential election, fake news stories targeting candidates spread widely on social media, impacting public opinion and undermining trust in the electoral process. This erosion of trust can have lasting consequences, as people become skeptical of democratic systems and lose faith in elected officials. Similar effects were observed in Brazil's 2018 presidential election, where misinformation circulated on WhatsApp polarized the electorate and complicated efforts to restore public trust (Preston et al., 2021).

Fake news also has severe implications for public safety and environmental issues. The “Pizzagate” conspiracy, for example, falsely alleged a child trafficking ring operating out of a pizzeria in Washington, D.C., prompting an armed man to enter the establishment with the intent of “rescuing” supposed victims. Fortunately, no one was harmed, but the incident underscores the dangers of misinformation-induced vigilantism. In the environmental domain, misinformation related to climate change has fueled skepticism about its seriousness, undermining international efforts to address environmental issues. This type of misinformation pressures governments to deprioritize long-term sustainability in favor of immediate economic concerns (Rocha et al., 2021).

The societal impact of fake news also includes an increase in racial and ethnic tensions. During the European refugee crisis, misinformation claiming that refugees were responsible for rising crime rates circulated widely, fueling xenophobia and anti-immigration sentiment. Such misinformation contributed to the breakdown of social cohesion, with communities becoming increasingly divided. Similarly, during the 2018 child-kidnapping rumors in India, misinformation spread on WhatsApp led to mob violence, with dozens of innocent people killed due to wrongful accusations. These examples illustrate the role of fake news in creating fear and inciting violence, especially in regions with limited media literacy and access to accurate news sources (Preston et al., 2021).

In summary, fake news affects multiple sectors beyond public health. From political polarization and environmental misinformation to threats to public safety and social cohesion, fake news destabilizes societies and exacerbates social issues. Addressing these challenges requires a comprehensive approach that includes regulatory measures, public education, and robust fact-checking mechanisms to reduce the spread and impact of misinformation.

### **Protecting Against Misinformation**

- *Individual-Level Solutions*

On a personal level, media literacy is critical for combating misinformation. Media literacy programs empower people to assess the credibility of sources, recognize biases, and distinguish credible information from misinformation. Studies have shown that those with higher media literacy are less likely to share fake news, as they can better evaluate news sources and verify facts before spreading information (Balakrishnan,

Ng and Rahim, 2021). Schools and community organizations play essential roles by integrating media literacy into educational programs, teaching individuals to critically evaluate online content and spot manipulation tactics.

Emotional awareness is another useful skill in fighting fake news. Because fake news often leverages emotional narratives, learning to recognize emotional triggers allows individuals to pause and reflect on the validity of information. Emotional intelligence training, which includes managing one's responses to emotionally charged content, has been shown to reduce vulnerability to misinformation, as people with greater emotional awareness are less likely to be manipulated by fake news (Preston et al., 2021).

Moreover, using fact-checking tools can help individuals verify information quickly. Platforms like Snopes, FactCheck.org, and PolitiFact provide reliable, accessible resources to assess the credibility of information. Encouraging people to use these tools fosters responsible sharing practices and helps curb the spread of misinformation.

- *Policy-Level Solutions*

On a policy level, regulating social media platforms is a crucial step. Social media companies should improve content moderation algorithms to flag and label potentially false information. For example, Facebook and Twitter have implemented measures to label or remove COVID-19 misinformation, redirecting users to verified information sources (Alkhalili and Robila, 2021). Governments can also mandate transparency around social media algorithms to ensure they do not prioritize sensationalist content.

Collaboration with fact-checking organizations is another effective policy. Governments can work with independent fact-checkers to monitor and address misinformation in real time, especially during elections and health crises. Fact-checkers serve as an added layer of verification that can stop false narratives from gaining traction (Bryanov and Vziatysheva, 2021).

Promoting media literacy education on a national scale has also proven effective. Finland, for instance, has made media literacy a core part of its educational system, leading to lower susceptibility to misinformation. Implementing similar education programs across schools can foster a generation that is well-equipped to navigate digital information and resist fake news (Balakrishnan, Ng and Rahim, 2021).

Lastly, transparency and accountability policies are vital for regulating online content. Governments can require platforms to disclose the origins of political ads or label state-affiliated media, helping users understand potential biases. The European Union's Code of Practice on Disinformation exemplifies this approach, holding social media companies accountable while enabling users to critically evaluate content (Rocha et al., 2021).

## **Conclusion**

Fake news poses a serious threat to individual mental health and societal stability. Its ability to manipulate emotions, polarize communities, and erode trust in institutions demands both individual responsibility and structural changes. Combating misinformation requires a multifaceted approach, including media literacy, emotional awareness, and policy-based solutions. By encouraging individual resilience and implementing structural safeguards, we can create a media environment that prioritizes accuracy, reduces harm, and builds public trust.

## Bibliography

- Alkhalili, O. and Robila, S.A. (2021). Tracking the Impact of Fake News on US Election Cycles. *Proceedings of the Conference on Information Technology for Social Good*. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1145/3462203.3475920>.
- Alonso, M., Vilares, D., Gómez-Rodríguez, C. and Vilares, J. (2021). Sentiment Analysis for Fake News Detection. *Electronics*, 10(11), p.1348. doi:<https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics%2010111348>.
- Balakrishnan, V., Ng, K.S. and Rahim, H.A. (2021). To share or not to share – The underlying motives of sharing fake news amidst the COVID-19 pandemic in Malaysia. *Technology in Society*, 66, p.101676. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101676>.
- Beauvais, C. (2022). Fake news: Why do we believe it? *Joint Bone Spine*, 89(4). doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbspin.2022.105371>.
- Bryanov, K. and Vziatyshva, V. (2021). Determinants of individuals' belief in fake news: A scoping review determinants of belief in fake news. *PLOS ONE*, 16(6), p.e0253717. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0253717>.
- Carlson, M. (2018). Fake news as an informational moral panic: the symbolic deviancy of social media during the 2016 US presidential election. *Information, Communication & Society*, 23(3), pp.374–388. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118x.2018.1505934>.
- Cerovac, I. and Drmic, H. (2023). Fake News and the Capability Approach: How Disinformation Impairs Personal Health. *Prolegomena*, 22(1), pp.27–51. doi:<https://doi.org/10.26362/20230102>.
- Croce, M. and Piazza, T. (2021). Consuming Fake News: Can We Do Any Better? *Social Epistemology*, 37(2), pp.1–10. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/02691728.2021.1949643>.
- Duffy, A., Tandoc, E. and Ling, R. (2019). Too good to be true, too good not to share: the social utility of fake news. *Information, Communication & Society*, 23(13), pp.1–15. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118x.2019.1623904>.
- Elbarazi, I., Saddik, B., Grivna, M., Aziz, F., ElSORI, D., Stip, E. and Bendak, E. (2022). The Impact of the COVID-19 'Infodemic' on Well-Being: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare*, [online] 15, pp.289–307. doi:<https://doi.org/10.2147/JMDH.S346930>.
- Escolà-Gascón, Á., Dagnall, N., Denovan, A., Drinkwater, K. and Diez-Bosch, M. (2023). Who falls for fake news? Psychological and clinical profiling evidence of fake news consumers. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 200, p.111893. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2022.111893>.

Jain, P. (2021). The COVID-19 Pandemic and Positive Psychology: The Role of News and Trust in News on Mental Health and Well-Being. *Journal of Health Communication*, 26(5), pp.317–327. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/10810730.2021.1946219>.

K, A., P, D. and L, L.V. (2020). Emotion cognizance improves health fake news identification. *Proceedings of the 24th Symposium on International Database Engineering & Applications*. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1145/3410566.3410595>.

Kwek, C.L., Yeow, K.S., Zhang, L., Keoy, K.H. and Japos, G. (2022). The Determinants of Fake News Adaptation during COVID-19 Pandemic: A Social Psychology Approach. *Recoletos Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, 10(2), pp.19–39. doi:<https://doi.org/10.32871/rmrj2210.02.05>.

Nela, A. and Parruca, E. (2023). Impact of Social Media Disinformation and of Fake News Overexposure on the Actual Capacities and the Psychological Wellbeing During the Covid-19 Pandemic: a Systemic Literature Review. *The Global Psychotherapist*, 3(1), pp.126–132. doi:<https://doi.org/10.52982/lkj191>.

Preston, S., Anderson, A., Robertson, D.J., Shephard, M.P. and Huhe, N. (2021). Detecting fake news on Facebook: The role of emotional intelligence. *PLOS ONE*, [online] 16(3), p.e0246757. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0246757>.

Quandt, T., Klapproth, J. and Frischlich, L. (2021). Dark Social Media Participation and Well-being. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 45(101284). doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2021.11.004>.

Rocha, Y.M., de Moura, G.A., Desidério, G.A., de Oliveira, C.H., Lourenço, F.D. and de Figueiredo Nicolete, L.D. (2021). The impact of fake news on social media and its influence on health during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review. *Journal of Public Health*, [online] 1(10). doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10389-021-01658-z>.

Talwar, S., Dhir, A., Kaur, P., Zafar, N. and Alrasheedy, M. (2019). Why do people share fake news? Associations between the dark side of social media use and fake news sharing behavior. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, [online] 51(1), pp.72–82. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2019.05.026>.

Topp, C.W., Østergaard, S.D., Søndergaard, S. and Bech, P. (2015). The WHO-5 Well-Being Index: a Systematic Review of the Literature. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 84(3), pp.167–176. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1159/000376585>.